

Associate

Rachel E. Grossman

+1 919 504 9824

rgrossman@hwglaw.com

PRACTICES

Legal Ethics and
Malpractice

Issues and Appeals

Litigation and
Investigations

EDUCATION

University of North
Carolina School of Law,
J.D., highest honors

- Editor in Chief,
North Carolina Civil
Rights Law Review

- Order of the Coif

University of North
Carolina at Asheville,
B.A., magna cum laude

ADMISSIONS

North Carolina

District of Columbia



Rachel Grossman represents clients in high-stakes, complex disputes in federal and state courts, on appeal, in alternative dispute forums, and before administrative bodies. Her litigation experience spans industries such as consumer products, technology, gaming, and financial services. She also represents lawyers and firms in legal ethics matters.

Before joining HWG, Rachel practiced at an international law firm where she focused on complex civil litigation, class actions, and mass arbitrations. She has also worked at national nonprofit impact firms and as a law clerk for the Hon. Robin M. Meriweather on the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

Rachel maintains an active pro bono practice focused on civil rights and just conditions of confinement. She received her J.D. with highest honors from the University of North Carolina School of Law, where she was inducted into the Davis Society and served as Editor-in-Chief of the *North Carolina Civil Rights Law Review*.

Associate

Rachel E. Grossman

+1 919 504 9824

rgrossman@hwglaw.com

EXPERIENCE

Representative Experience

- Obtained early dismissals with prejudice of arbitration demands against an international mobile gaming company, avoiding millions in potential liability.
- Represented a multinational technology company in a Federal Trade Commission (FTC) investigation focused on alleged violations of FTCA Section 5 and the Restore Online Shoppers' Confidence Act.
- Successfully enforced an individual arbitration agreement in a putative class action against a major exercise equipment manufacturer.
- Represented a surgical device manufacturer in multiple private antitrust enforcement actions, including lawsuits by competitors and a putative class of customers.